

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	5.4	Paragraph	C	Policy	EN2
---------	-----	-----------	---	--------	-----

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	<b>X</b>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We also note in para C3 that the developers are expected to make submissions on Protected Species Surveys and ecological assessments. To give confidence that these submissions are independent and fully objective there is a need to ensure that these submissions are prepared by nationally recognised competent bodies or organisations. The cost of the submissions should still be paid for by the developer but they should have no say in who prepares the submission evidence.

**6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

**You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be**

helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The policy EN2 para C should be redrafted so that the submissions are prepared by appropriate nationally recognised independent authorities that are determined by Council, accepted by local communities and are funded by the developers.

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.*

*After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.*

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

We can bring local knowledge to support our arguments

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

9. Signature:

Catrina Hanson

Parish Clerk

Date:

26 March 2014