

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	<b>Section 4.3</b>	Paragraph	<b>New Attachment</b>	Policy	<b>WD1</b>
---------	--------------------	-----------	-----------------------	--------	------------

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We note with amazement that unlike almost all the other policy statements in the Core Strategy there are no Indicators or Targets for the above Policy, just a very vague and imprecise statement of anticipated Outcomes on page 93.

Without targets there is nothing to monitor against and so the aspirations for Wharfedale remain woolly, insubstantial and meaningless. There are no firm guidelines for example on how the transport policy objectives will be met and nothing in the Policy on Education or how the capacity will be increased to meet the demands of the target housing growth. 4.3.3. for example, whilst recognising the direct rail services to both Leeds and Bradford ignores the fact that the line is now under severe strain at peak times and has reached the limit of line capacity, train length and entry slots into Leeds station. The matter would be further aggravated dependent upon the proposed link with Leeds/Bradford Airport. The necessary infrastructure improvements needed now and even more so with housing growth seem to be a wish list with no serious well considered plan to deliver.

It could be convincingly argued that as drafted the Policy WD1 is a series of worthless promises with no conviction of delivery.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Policy WD1 and certainly within Section 4.3, needs to have drafted statements on how the ambitions of the Policy are planned to be achieved. Further there need to be Targets to monitor these plans against.

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
Yes	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

We can bring local knowledge to support arguments.

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

9. Signature: Catriona Hanson

Parish Clerk

Date:

26 March 2014